IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2545 OF 2005

Dharmendra Kumar — Petitioner

vs.

1. Union of India & or. — Respondents

Mr.Shyam Mehta with Mr.Satendra Kumar for the petitioner.

Mr.S.S.Pakale for the respondents.

CORAM : F.I.REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.

DATED : 14th December, 2006

P.C.

The issue which arise in this petition is similar to which had arisen in Writ Petition No.1826/2005 decided by us today by a separate speaking judgment. For the reasons set out therein, Rule is also discharged in the present petition.

2. However, for similar reasons as set out in the said writ petition, it will be open to the petitioner to make a representation within four weeks from today to the respondents. The respondents, on receipt of such representation in the matter of reversion of the petitioner, to consider the same sympathetically and dispose of it not later than sixteen weeks from the date of the receipt of the representation. If the

representation is allowed, then to pay to the petitioner the pensionery benefits in terms of the order that may be passed.

3. With the above observations, petition stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

(F.I. REBELLO, J.)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.)